

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Baseline Evaluation for Modogashe Ending Chronic Hunger Integrated Project

Document Release Date:	25th August 2020
Last Date for Receipt of proposals:	9th September 2020
Time:	11.00Hrs
Tender Number:	PRF07247
Submission Method:	tenders@redcross.or.ke
Tender Opening Venue and Time:	KENYA RED CROSS SOCIETY HEADQUARTERS BOARDROOM TIME: 1200 HRS (Zoom link will be shared to bidders)

1. Summary of the evaluation

- 1.1. **Purpose:** The main aim of conducting this baseline survey is to provide statistically representative baseline values against key project indicators. Further document the circumstances that exist prior to implementation in the project target area to inform learning and future programming of WASH and resilience projects.
- 1.2. **Partners:** Kenya Red Cross Society (KRCS), British Red Cross (BRC) and County Governments of Garissa and Isiolo.
- 1.3. **Duration:** The Baseline Survey will be carried out by an independent consultant, and is expected to take 30-45 calendar days
- 1.4. **Estimated Dates:** 4th September to 15th October 2020
- 1.5. **Geographical Location:** Garissa and Isiolo Counties
- 1.6. **Target Population:** Community members, school pupils and stakeholders of Modogashe ward in Garissa and Sericho in Isiolo County.
- 1.7. **Deliverables:** Inception report, presentation of preliminary findings, data sets, final evaluation report and summary power point presentation.
- 1.8. **Methodology:** This will include document review, Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) with key partner stakeholders; interviews with community members, Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) and observations, household surveys.
- 1.9. **Evaluation Management Team:** KRCS MEA&L, program team & donor representative

2. Background Information

Kenya Red Cross through funding from British Red Cross is implementing a 19 Months project in Sericho, Isiolo County and Modogashe ward in Garissa County that aims at contributing to mitigation of Chronic Hunger, reduction of water related diseases and improve food security for the vulnerable communities in both wards. This will be implemented through improving access to water, hygiene, sanitation, encouraging uptake of appropriate livelihoods interventions and enhancing peace among the communities living in the two wards. The project implementation commenced in May 2020 and ends in December 2021.

The target community include boys, girls, men and women including people differently abled.

The anticipated changes over a 19-month period include:

- Protection, strengthening and diversifying livelihoods and income options for target communities in Sericho and Modogashe wards in Isiolo & Garissa Counties respectively.
- Increased awareness/understanding of health risks linked to unhygienic practices, resulting in behaviour change e.g. critical times for hand washing, safe disposal child faeces; knowledge of the causes/prevention of WASH-related diseases, safe water chain and open defecation (OD) contamination risks.
- Increased use of rehabilitated/new water infrastructure, improved water quality, reduced collection distances; increased demand for/use of latrines, reduced OD and reduced contamination risks.
- Enabled and strengthened communities, able to foster connections, engage and solicit support from local government in arrangements for on-going WASH operation and maintenance (O&M).
- Reduce resource based conflict and enhance cohesion among communities living in Sericho and Modogashe Wards in Isiolo and Garissa Counties.

Agricultural and livestock production and productivity are significantly constrained by the cyclic droughts whose frequency and severity have been worsening with time causing crop failure, dwindling pasture resources and livestock deaths resulting in severe food shortages and malnutrition (Isiolo & Garissa Climate Risk Profiles). Modogashe experiences many challenges that contribute to food insecurity including: - harsh climatic conditions, cyclic drought, floods, frequent conflicts, insecurity, high poverty levels, water stress, limited pasture for livestock, and limited livelihoods options among others. The inability to maintain food and nutrition security contributes to chronic hunger. Any solution needs to address a nutritionally diverse diet. The dimensions of food and nutrition

security include; availability, accessibility, utilisation and stability, and meeting nutritional requirements. These counties have some of the worst nutrition and health indicators countrywide with a rising numbers of malnourished children evident. The project will address the following problems as identified during the detailed Livelihood, Water and peace assessments:

- a) **Lack of climate adaptation by pastoralists.** Communities in Isiolo largely depend on pastoralism as the main source of income but have not adopted practices to protect their livelihoods from the effects of climate change. As drought intensifies, communities are losing their livestock to starvation and disease. Pastoralists lack access to climate adaptation information, technical knowledge and veterinary services to withstand the changing environment. Lack of functional Early Warning Systems (EWS) and technical support also undermines appropriate drought risk management and response capacity.
- b) **Poor livelihoods diversification:** Communities (particularly women and youth) lack knowledge on how to engage in other productive activities. Only a few people practice trade, subsistence or seasonal agriculture. Local markets are poorly developed and lack infrastructure. Most producers lack skills and knowledge on marketing, sales or business management. During extensive drought men migrate for longer periods of time leaving women, girls and PWD with no alternative sources of income to meet their basic needs. Lack of diversified livelihoods leads to poverty (Isiolo 72.6%, Garissa xxxx) and food insecurity, affecting rates of Global Acute Malnutrition (Isiolo 13.8%, Garissa 13.7%) and levels of stunting (Isiolo 18%, Garissa 13%).
- c) **Lack of county government and local capacity: the county government lacks capacity to support communities** as needed. Local committees for water, savings and rangeland management are in place but lack information, resources and training to provide adequate support.
- d) **Limited access to water and water management.** The improved sanitation coverage is 22.8% Isiolo and 16.8 Garissa: The Open defecation is 44.2% Isiolo and 48.2% Garissa. Lack of water (Isiolo 65%, Garissa 76.2%) underpins many of the issues affecting the community, including livelihood opportunities, health and sanitation. Women and girls are disproportionately affected by the lack of water which limits their income generation (IGA) and education opportunities.

3. The Baseline survey objectives

Purpose

The main aim of conducting this baseline survey is to provide statistically representative baseline values against key project indicators as per the logical framework. This will avail a detailed reference document for assessing the project changes in future evaluations and for providing lessons learnt for future WASH programs in similar contexts and recommendations for future programme in the movement and wider sector.

Objectives

The study will be guided by the following objectives:

1. To determine benchmark statistics for Ending Chronic Hunger Integrated project (WASH and Livelihood) indicators at household-level (through collection of primary and secondary data).
2. To collect information that will influence project design and ways of working – at household and community levels.
3. Realize key recommendations that will inform implementation of the project

4. Key Survey questions

4.1 Evaluation Objective 1

- What is the current coverage of the log frame indicators (at Baseline)?
- Does the coverage differ across locations and divisions?
- Does the data collected differ across gender?

4.2 Beneficiary participation and accountability

- How will beneficiaries be made to understand the project?
- How will beneficiaries be involved in the project decision making?
- What will the community contribute towards the interventions?
- What do beneficiaries expect will be the effect of the project to their way of life?
- How will community groups and volunteers be engaged in the project activities?
- What strategies will be used for Beneficiary communication and complains mechanism?
- What are the current risks to the project and how would they affect sustainability?

4.3 Organizational Learning and best practices

- What strategies will be employed? Which ones have previously worked well? Which ones did not?
- Are there any lessons learnt and good practices that can be used to optimize the outcomes proposed for the project?

4.4 Partnerships, stakeholder management and Integration:

- What are the respective responsibilities and contributions of county government, local leadership, community members and other local partners?
- To what extent is the project objective integrated into the County government programs, policies and orientation?
- What key lessons can be drawn from the previous projects that involved working in partnership with both county governments?

5. Survey Methodology

The consulting firm/individual to propose most suitable study design, sampling methods, data collection and analysis approaches that is suitable for this project. This should be clearly outlined in the bidding document/proposal and if qualified to oral stage to have further discussion with the evaluation management team. The consulting firm can also propose targeted respondents to interview or data sources that can answer the log frame indicators and provide comparable statistics (meaningful comparison between baseline and end line) to document any changes.

The evaluation will use the following literature and any other for reference and to inform the evaluation process further:

- Project proposal and log frame
- Existing project documents by the time of data collection.
- Documents, policies and frameworks by partners, county and national government

The project indicators of focus are highlighted below: -

IMPACT	Impact Indicator 1
Poverty reduction in Modogashe	
OUTCOME 1	Outcome Indicator 1.1
To protect strengthen and diversify livelihoods and income options for targets communities	Number of households reached with productive assets.
	Outcome Indicator 1.2
	Percentage of targeted households with diversified income sources attributable to the project
	Outcome Indicator 1.3
	Number/percentage of target population <households> <stabilizing, improving> their net income
OUTPUT 1	Output Indicator 1.1
Functional community livelihood structures	Number of VLSA groups formed to support livelihoods
	Output Indicator 1.2
	Number of VLSA groups operationalized through training
	Output Indicator 1.3
	% of target beneficiaries demonstrating increased knowledge in livelihoods support
	% of target beneficiaries reporting improved family nutrition/ nutrition diversification as a result of cooking demonstration
	Number of vulnerable households supported with SHOATS
Outcome 2	Outcome Indicator 2.1

Target community has improved linkages to markets and service providers	Number of people accessing an improved drinking water source less than 2km away disaggregated by gender
	Number villages/communities that are open defecation free (ODF)
	Number of people using a basic latrine with a handwashing facility with water and soap (or alternative) disaggregated by gender
	Percentage of households which have at least one caregiver with knowledge of 3 critical times for hand washing
Output 2.1	Output Indicator 2.1
Productive infrastructure constructed and operationalized	Number water for livelihood infrastructure constructed.
	Output Indicator 2.2
	Number of water points with functional water management structures created or strengthened.
	Output indicator 2.3
	Number of people participating in training on governance/or management of physical water structures.
	Output Indicator 2.4
	Number of schools with safe drinking water supply
	Output Indicator 2.5
	Number of households supported through grazing park as a model
	Output Indicator 2.6
% of pastoralist families reporting satisfaction with grazing packs for livelihood support.	
	Output Indicator 2.7
	Number of biannual peace committees
	Output Indicator 2.8
	Number of CBDRR members trained
OUTPUT 3	Output Indicator 3.1
Target communities have knowledge of good hygiene practices	Number of CLTS Follow up, verification, Certification and celebration
	Output Indicator 3.2
	Number of school health clubs formed
	Output Indicator 3.3

	Number of WASH Campaign, hygiene promotion events and school hygiene competitions
	Output indicator 3.4
	Number of CLTS ToTs trained

6. Evaluation Quality & Ethical Standards

The consultant shall take all reasonable steps to ensure that the evaluation is designed and conducted to respect and protect the rights and welfare of the people and communities involved and to ensure that the evaluation is technically accurate and reliable, is conducted in a transparent and impartial manner, and contributes to organizational learning and accountability.

Therefore, the evaluation team shall be required to adhere to the evaluation standards and applicable practices as recommended by International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies.

- **Utility:** Evaluations must be useful and used.
- **Feasibility:** Evaluations must be realistic, diplomatic, and managed in a sensible, cost effective manner.
- **Ethics & Legality:** Evaluations must be conducted in an ethical and legal manner, with particular regard for the welfare of those involved in and affected by the evaluation.
- **Impartiality & Independence:** Evaluations should be impartial, providing a comprehensive and unbiased assessment that takes into account the views of all stakeholders.
- **Transparency:** Evaluation activities should reflect an attitude of openness and transparency.
- **Accuracy:** Evaluations should be technical accurate, providing sufficient information about the data collection, analysis, and interpretation methods so that its worth or merit can be determined.
- **Participation:** Stakeholders should be consulted and meaningfully involved in the evaluation process when feasible and appropriate.
- **Collaboration:** Collaboration between key operating partners in the evaluation process improves the legitimacy and utility of the evaluation.

It is also expected that the evaluation will respect the seven Fundamental Principles of the Red Cross and Red Crescent: 1) humanity, 2) impartiality, 3) neutrality, 4) independence, 5) voluntary service, 6) unity, and 7) universality.

7. Qualifications and Experience for Consultants

- The lead consultant must have a background in social sciences, public health, water engineering or related field. (at a minimum of Master's degree level)
- Demonstrable experience in conducting high quality evaluations (baselines/endlines) for related projects in the past 3 years (sample reports will be required during the oral stage of bid analysis).
- Experience of conducting field assessments/working in the targeted regions.
- High level of professionalism and an ability to work independently under tight deadlines.
- Strong interpersonal and communication skills
- The team must have a statistician able to analyse quantitative and qualitative data as well as key technical team members in to handle specific components of the project evaluation
- Firm must have experience in using mobile phone technology for data collection.
- The lead consultant must have strong analytical skills and ability to clearly synthesize and present findings, draw practical conclusions, make recommendations and to prepare well-written reports.
- Availability for the period indicated.

8. Management of the Evaluation

Duration: The baseline survey will be conducted between 4th – September to 15th October 2020 from contract signing to delivery of the final report.

Evaluation task/ output	Date/ deadline
Closing date for bid	
Interview dates for candidates	
Appointment of consultant	
Inception meeting with successful bidder	
Inception report delivered	
Feedback from steering committee on inception report shared with consultant	
Inception report signed off	
Fieldwork dates (TBC)	
Validation meeting/ presentation of findings by consultant to KRCS/ BRC	
Draft evaluation report	
Steering committee give feedback on draft final report	
Delivery of final report	
Dissemination of findings	TBA

Deliverables:

1. Inception report detailing the evaluation design, sampling methodology & sample frame, evaluation tools, agreed budget and work plan.
2. Copies of original and cleaned data sets with codebook. The raw data, the database which has been cleaned (both qualitative and quantitative, including original field notes for in-depth interviews and focus group discussions, as well as recorded audio material), should be submitted together with the report. A simple inventory of material handed over will be part of the record. KRCS will have sole ownership of all final data and any findings shall only be shared or reproduced with the permission of KRCS.
3. Draft baseline report that will culminate in the final report with the following elements:
 - a) Table of contents
 - b) Clear executive summary with among others major findings of the Baseline and summary of conclusions and recommendations.
 - c) The objectives of the end line, methodology and any challenges encountered in the field.
 - d) A presentation of the results and discussion of the same (including analysis)
 - e) Conclusions
 - f) Recommendations with clear guidelines of how they can be implemented.
 - g) Report annexes.
4. A power point presentation highlighting key results and discussion from the baseline survey will be presented at a feedback meeting to be held after completing the draft report.
5. Final Baseline Survey Reports - submit 4 bound hard copy and one electronic copy of the report by the agreed timeline.
6. A power point presentation highlighting key results, findings and recommendations to be disseminated to the key stakeholders after approval of the final end line report.

Evaluation Management Team:

The evaluation management team will be composed of the Kenya Red Cross MEA&L, Program representative and British Red Cross representative. KRCS MEA&L representatives will be the chair of the team.

9. Application Requirements

Application materials shall include:

- A written response to this TOR in terms of a proposal detailing the technical understanding of the task, proposed methodologies of the evaluation, expected activities and deliverables, proposed work plans with schedule, and financial bids. **See Annex 1**
- Detailed **CVs of all professional (s)** who will work on the evaluation. If there is more than one contractor on the proposed evaluation team, please attach a table describing the level of effort (in number of days) of each team member in each of the evaluation activities. **See Annex 3**
- Professional references: please **provide at least three references** from your previous clients and full contact details of the referees (working and active email & phone number).

10. Submission of proposal

The Technical Proposal **MUST** be prepared in conformance to the outline provided in **Annex 1** while the financial proposal shall conform to the template provided in **Annex 2**. Team composition should conform to **Annex 3**. *Bidders should provide softcopy technical and financial proposal in **two separate folders** clearly marked "Technical Proposal" and "Financial Proposal". The subject of your email should be "Tender No. PRF07247 "Call for Consultancy for Ending Chronic Hunger Integrated Project"*

The Proposal should be addressed as below and **emailed** to tenders@redcross.or.ke by **9th September 2020 at 11:00 AM**.

**Chairperson
Tender Committee
Kenya Red Cross Society
P.O Box 40712 - 00100
Nairobi, Kenya**

Tenders will be opened immediately thereafter in the presence of the candidates or their representatives who choose to attend our **online tender opening meeting** on the same day at noon. Interested bidders to confirm participation on mail tenders@redcross.or.ke and thereafter we will share the **Zoom link for the meeting**.

ANNEX 1: RESPONSE PROPOSAL FOMART

- 1) **Introduction:** description of the firm, the firm's qualifications and statutory compliance (1 page)
- 2) **Back ground:** Understanding of the project, context and requirements for services, Key questions (2 pages)
- 3) **Proposed methodology** - Indicate methods to be used for each indicator and highlight any areas where indicators may need adjustment. The targeted respondents should be indicated for each indicator. Proposed detailed questions should be indicated. Detailed sampling procedure needs to be indicated. (5 pages)
- 4) **Firms experience** in undertaking assignments of similar nature and experience from the geographical area for other major clients (Table with: Name of organization, name of assignment, duration of assignment (Dates), reference person contacts) – (2 pages)
- 5) **Proposed team** composition (As per annex 3) – (1 page)
- 6) **Work plan** (Gantt chart of activity and week of implementation) – (1 page)

ANNEX 2: BUDGET TEMPLATE

The consultant shall only quote for the items below as KRCS will manage all other related costs (Logistics and

Item	Unit	# of Units	Unit Cost	Total Cost (Ksh.)
Consultancy Fee (for the whole evaluation period)	Per day			
Office expenses (Printing, photocopy, binding, communication costs etc.)	lump sum			
Grand Total				

payment of enumerators)

ANNEX 3: PROPOSED TEAM COMPOSITION TEMPLATE

Name of Team Member	Highest Level of Qualification	General Years of Experience related to the task at hand	Number of days to be engaged	Roles under this assignment

ANNEX 4: TENDER EVALUATION CRITERIA

A three stage evaluation procedure will be used to evaluate all proposals from bidders. The total number of points which each bidder may obtain for its proposal is:

- Technical Proposal 60 marks
- Oral presentation 30 marks
- Financial Proposal 10 marks

1. Mandatory Requirements

The proposal shall be evaluated on the basis of its adherence to the following compulsory requirements, this applies to both local and international firms and individuals.

Document/ Requirements
Tax compliance certificate
Certificate of incorporation/registration (Only applicable for firms)
PIN certificate
Proceed to next stage (Yes / No)

2. Evaluation of the Technical Proposal

The technical proposal shall be evaluated on the basis of its responsiveness to the TOR. Specifically, the following criteria shall apply:

Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points Possible	Bidders score	Remarks
(1) Introduction: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Description of the Firm and the Firm's Qualifications 	5		
(2) Background : Understanding of the project, context and requirements for services	10		
(3) Proposed Methodology: The proposed methodology MUST provide an indication of its effectiveness and added value in the proposed assignment.	20		
(4) Firms Experience in undertaking assignments of similar nature and experience from related geographical area for other major clients <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a summary and supporting information on overall years of experience, and related technical and geographic coverage experience. 	10		
(5) Proposed Team Composition: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tabulate the team composition to include the general qualifications, suitability for the specific task to be assigned and overall years of relevant experience to the proposed assignment. The proposed team composition should balance effectively with the necessary skills and competencies required to undertake the proposed assignment. Lead Consultant Qualifications – should be as per the TOR Provide CVs for key Consulting team including Statistician/Data Analyst 	10		
(6) Work Plan: A Detailed logical, weekly work plan for the assignment MUST be provided.	5		
TOTAL SCORE	60		

The firm, or team of consultants that attains a score of 36 out of 60 and above in the technical evaluation will be invited to proceed to oral presentation.

3. Oral presentation

Criteria	Maximum points	Bidder's Score	Remarks
Understanding of the assignment	5		
Clear and scientific methodology	15		
Presentation of previous similar assignment (Consultant will be required to show/present 2 previous completed assignments at the oral stage)	10		
Total Score out of 30	30		

4. Evaluation of the Financial Proposal

The Financial Proposal shall be prepared in accordance to **Annex 2** .The maximum number of points for the Financial Proposal shall be **10% (10 points)**. This maximum number of points will be allocated to the lowest Financial Proposal. All other Financial Proposals will receive points in inverse proportion according to the below formula:

$$\text{Points for the Financial Proposal being evaluated} = \frac{(\text{Maximum number of points for the financial proposal}) \times (\text{Lowest price})}{\text{Price of proposal being evaluated}}$$

A total score obtained including Technical, Oral and Financial Proposals is calculated for each proposal. The bid obtaining the overall highest score is the winning bid.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

Please read carefully the method of tender submission and comply accordingly.

1.1.1. KRCS reserves the right to accept or to reject any bid, and to annul the bidding process and reject all bids at any time prior to the award of the contract, without thereby incurring any liability to any Bidder or any obligation to inform the Bidder of the grounds for its action.

1.1.2. Cost of bidding

The Bidder shall bear all costs associated with the preparation and submission of its bid, and the Organization will in no case be responsible or liable for those costs, regardless of the conduct or outcome of the bidding process.

1.1.3. Clarification of Bidding Document

All correspondence related to the contract shall be made in English. Any clarification sought by the bidder in respect of the consultancy shall be addressed at least **five (5) days** before the deadline for submission of bids, in writing to the Administration Coordinator.

The queries and replies thereto shall then be circulated to all other prospective bidders (without divulging the name of the bidder raising the queries) in the form of an addendum, which shall be acknowledged in writing by the prospective bidders.

Enquiries for clarifications should be sent by e-mail to tenders@redcross.or.ke

1.1.4. Amendment of Bidding Document

At any time prior to the deadline for submission of bids, KRCS, for any reason, whether at its own initiative or in response to a clarification requested by a prospective Bidder, may modify the bidding documents by amendment.

All prospective Bidders that have received the bidding documents will be notified of the amendment in writing, and it will be binding on them. It is therefore important that bidders give the correct details in the format given on page 1 at the time of collecting/receiving the bid document.

To allow prospective Bidders reasonable time to take any amendments into account in preparing their bids, KRCS may at its sole discretion extend the deadline for the submission of bids based on the nature of the amendments.

1.1.5. Deadline for Submission of Bids

Bids should reach tenders@redcross.or.ke on or before **9th September 2020** at 11.00 am. Bids received after the above-specified date and time shall not be considered.

Any bid received by KRCS after this deadline will be rejected.

*Bidders should provide a technical and financial proposal in two separate folders clearly Marked “**Technical Proposal**” and “**Financial Proposal**” both of which should then be sent to tenders@redcross.or.ke with the subject reading “**Tender No. PRF07247 - “Call for Consultancy for Ending Chronic Hunger Integrated Project**”*

Tenders will be opened immediately thereafter in the presence of the candidates or their representatives who choose to attend our **online tender opening meeting** on the same day at noon. Interested bidders to confirm participation on mail tenders@redcross.or.ke and thereafter we will share the **Zoom link for the meeting**.

1.1.6. Cost Structure and non-escalation

The bidder shall, in their offer (Financial Proposal), detail the proposed costs as per the template provided above.

No price escalation under this contract shall be allowed. KRCS shall not compensate any bidder for costs incurred in the preparation and submission of this RFP, and in any subsequent pre-contract process.

1.1.7. Taxes and Incidental Costs

The prices and rates in the financial offer will be deemed to be inclusive of all taxes and any other incidental costs.

1.1.8. Responsiveness of Proposals

The responsiveness of the proposals to the requirements of this RFP will be determined. A responsive proposal is deemed to contain all documents or information specifically called for in this RFP document. A bid determined not responsive will be rejected by the Organization and may not subsequently be made responsive by the Bidder by correction of the non-conforming item(s).

1.1.9. Currency for Pricing of Tender

All bids in response to this RFP should be expressed in Kenya Shillings. **Expressions in other currencies shall not be permitted.**

1.1.10. Correction of Errors.

Bids determined to be substantially responsive will be checked by KRCS for any arithmetical errors. Errors will be corrected by KRCS as below:

- a. where there is a discrepancy between the amounts in figures and in words, the amount in words will govern, and
- b. where there is a discrepancy between the unit rate and the line total resulting from multiplying the unit rate by the quantity, the unit rate as quoted will govern.

The price amount stated in the Bid will be adjusted by KRCS in accordance with the above procedure for the correction of errors.

1.1.11. Evaluation and Comparison of Bids

Technical proposals will be evaluated prior to the evaluation of the financial bids. Financial bids of firms whose technical proposals are found to be non-qualifying in whatever respect may be returned unopened.

1.1.12. Confidentiality

The Bidder shall treat the existence and contents of this RFP, and all information made available in relation to this RFP, as confidential and shall only use the same for the purpose for which it was provided.

The Bidder shall not publish or disclose the same or any particulars thereof to any third party without the written permission of KRCS, unless it is to Bidder's Contractors for assistance in preparation of this Tender. In any case, the same confidentiality must be entered into between Bidder and his Contractors.

1.1.13. Corrupt or Fraudulent Practices

KRCS requires that tenderers observe the highest standard of ethics during the procurement process and execution of contracts. A tenderer shall sign a declaration that he has not and will not be involved in corrupt or fraudulent practices.

KRCS will reject a proposal for award if it determines that the tenderer recommended for award has engaged in corrupt or fraudulent practices in competing for the contract in question

Further a tenderer who is found to have indulged in corrupt or fraudulent practices risks being debarred from participating please report any malpractices to complaints@redcross.or.ke